BOOK REVIEW


Long on the outskirts of the Classical canon, Valerius Flaccus’ Argonautica has, in the last three decades, benefited from renewed interest in Flavian epic and emerged as lively new ground for study. The publication of numerous articles, a Brill’s Companion, and a remarkable number of commentaries (21 since 1980), has significantly advanced the field. In the midst of this wave of scholarship, however, Book 3 has been largely overlooked. Manuwald’s new text with commentary fills this signal gap.

In keeping with the Cambridge Greek and Latin Series, Manuwald brings the Argonautica to a wider audience, with a commentary aimed at graduate and advanced undergraduate students as well as specialists. One of the volume’s most valuable features, therefore, is its presentation of the Argonautica as an archetype of Flavian epic: both commentary and poem offer an accessible and engaging entry point into the field as a whole.

As Manuwald notes, Book 3 particularly lends itself to this project, and she convincingly presents it as a distillation of the poem’s main themes. Two principal episodes may be read separately or together: the Argonauts’ night-battle in Cyzicus, in which they are tragically blown back to friendly shores and unwittingly attack their hosts, and the rape of Hylas in Mysia, which precipitates Hercules’ departure from the expedition. These two sequences exemplify Valerius’ distinctive engagement with, and revision of, traditional material, principally from Apollonius Rhodius and Virgil. Both episodes, furthermore, illuminate key issues for the Argonautica’s interpretation—its representation of the gods and fate, and its characterization of the protagonist Jason. Both introduction and commentary keep these issues to the fore throughout the volume.

The introduction comprises four sections, on poet, poem, Book 3, and text. It begins with a survey of the scant historical evidence for Valerius and summarizes
the much-disputed question of the Argonautica’s date of composition. This
debate centers on whether Valerius was working primarily under Vespasian,
whom he addresses in the proem, or Domitian, who completed the Templum
gentis Flaviae to which Valerius may refer at Arg.1.15-6. Manuwald wisely does
not offer a definitive answer, but rather—and more importantly—explains its
interpretive significance—how the Argonautica’s possible Roman points of
reference (e.g., its frequent criticism of tyrant figures) may be read as commenting
on contemporary society. She shows how the theme of the Argos’s opening of the
seas unifies the poem and informs its historical relevance to the Flavian political
program. Addressing the poem’s intended length and degree of completeness,
she summarizes the structural evidence for an original eight books, with the final
half-book either incomplete at the time of the poet’s death or lost at an early stage
of transmission. The text largely agrees with Liberman’s (1997); textual
problems and emendations are thoughtfully and thoroughly discussed.

Highlights of Manuwald’s introduction are her discussion of Valerius’
interaction with his poetic models (Section 2.6, and passim) and a detailed
outline of Book 3 (Section 3.1). She well shows the correspondences between
Book 3’s two episodes and emphasizes their indebtedness to Virgilian models;
the text will thereby be readily accessible to students familiar with the Aeneid. This
approach likewise addresses one of the Argonautica’s most distinctive features: its
pervasive system of multi-level and multi-genre allusion. Valerius’ language and
narrative are notoriously elliptical, regularly relying on allusion to supply
information and meaning. This poetic technique not only resists straightforward
interpretation, but is also partially responsible for the traditional dismissal of
Valerius as derivative—a highly Virgilian ‘successor of Virgil’. Manuwald’s focus
on engagement rather than imitation demonstrates how this quality produces
richness rather than sterility, and so introduces the poem on its own terms.

The introduction draws on Manuwald’s prior scholarship, identifying the
knowledge gap between men and gods as a key element of Valerius’ response to
the literary tradition and to contemporary Stoic doctrine (Sections 2.4, 2.5).
Without access to a divine plan, not only Jason but the reader as well is left
uncertain as to the significance of his actions. This interpretation informs the
discussion of the place that Valerius’ Jason occupies within a literary tradition
that frequently questions his heroic status in comparison to (e.g.) Hercules or
pius Aeneas. Book 3 is particularly apt for this inquiry. Jason’s remorse after
inadvertently killing his host Cyzicus, and his distress over whether the crew should leave Hercules behind in Mysia, act as litmus tests of his heroic character.

The commentary itself is structured by the two principal episodes (Cyzicus, 1–461, and Hylas, 481–740) and an interlude (the rowing contest). Each section begins with a detailed introduction to its content, major themes, and relevant bibliography. For teachers, a particularly attractive feature of the commentary is the frequent explanation of how discrete sections fit together in structure and theme, which helps the student to move beyond the minutiae of grammar. Detailed explanations of mythological and literary references will provide a welcome starting point for discussion. Some notes seem oriented more to the undergraduate than the graduate student and pay far more, perhaps inordinate, attention to references to Virgil than those to Apollonius, who most often is noted as a point of contrast.

While the interpretive angle of Manuwald’s commentary will not surprise those familiar with her scholarship on Valerius, this is by no means a limiting factor. The volume is an engaging introduction to the Argonautica, which offers in-depth philological analysis while setting the poem in its literary and historical contexts.
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